

February 4, 2010

Built environment meeting

Nohelia, Georgette, Mary Beth, Virginia, Bruno, Rich, Meera, Irasema, Jeanette, Michelle

Recap of last meeting: Created two documents:

1. Platform concerns
2. Community Plan Development Proposal

Discussed the community plan development proposal:

- Added a new objective: Ensure that the residents affected by this process are not displaced from the neighborhood
- There was discussion about the need to make the proposal more specific and tangible. This is needed to continue to be in dialogue with a broader community. We always need to stay open for this, and not stop where we are at now even though we have the data from the original house meetings.

Where we want to go with our Community Plan Development Proposal:

- Momentum teams (including resident momentum team)
- All team meeting
- Back to the community, and not just the resident momentum teams
- Community forum with extensive outreach beforehand

There was discussion about where this outreach should go. Mid City CAN was given more money to be able to do a second round of house meetings.

There will also be a community forum of the residents who were involved in the house meetings. We would like to be involved in all of the existing framework, as well as There was discussion about what objectives to focus on. Because of the nature of our momentum team, many of the projects that we may want to implement may take a little bit of time to implement.

We want to increase capacity so that we can create leaders who will be able to actually see some change.

Perhaps we should make our objectives *Smart goals* (which means: make goals *specific, measurable, attainable and timely*)

Logic model:

- We are ahead of every one else because we have a lot more laid out than other teams do

- We are doing our work differently than other groups do, because one person laid out a plan, and we are deciding if we agree on it. This is why we have brought this plan to our meetings three times now.
- Our goals are more or less similar to the logic model's goals
- Our all team meeting is going to flush out this vision
- We don't want this all to be discussed just for the California Endowment we want this to be about City Heights: we want to make sure that these issues we are bringing up in the logic model are lasting issues for the community.
- We want to make sure that the logic model is an organic document. We want it to be open for residents who may come late into this process. They may have different ideas that are just as important as what we have included in the logic model.
- This is not a final document, it's something to get this started. We want to get moving forward.

Platform Concerns:

- Created a matrix that lays out issues that we want to work on, and their relation to the data from the house meetings
- This is a living document that will continue to change
- Broke into groups to discuss the objectives: to discuss what are there and also what we want to add, as well as seeing what data fits with the concerns.
See attached document for changes that were added during this discussion.