

FOOD JUSTICE MOMENTUM TEAM: OUTCOME PRIORITIES 2-24-2010

Participants were given a summary of the data collected by the House Meeting Leaders. This summary listed broad strategies suggested residents, each coupled with a list of more specific ideas related to the broad strategy.

Prioritization of Outcomes: The group set the priorities for the outcomes as follows:

[The following page has the material and results of the exercise]

Outcome 7 – Outcome 4 – Outcome 3

1. Participants were presented with a matrix that had the six elements of their vision across the top and the three outcomes related to the Big Result this Momentum Team is to be focused on along the side. Participants individually identified connections between elements of their vision and the outcomes.
2. After each participant had the opportunity to make their own connections, participants were divided into four groups. Each group was to share their individual perspectives and develop a group perspective.

Each group then went up to the wall, marking the relationships they saw among the three outcomes and six elements of their vision. While all the outcomes were viewed as overlapping the vision, Outcome 7 was viewed as overlapping the vision more than the others. The numbers in the boxes in the first table indicate the number of groups that marked that box.

3. Participants were then presented with a series of questions about each of the three outcomes. These were:
 - a. Where does the primary solution lie? The second table shows that all groups thought Outcome 3 had a regional [county level] solution while the groups were split evenly on Outcomes 4 and 7 – half saw the them having a local solution while half saw the solution lying at the regional [county] level.
 - b. What level of effort would it take to reach the outcome? Outcomes 3 and 7 were seen as requiring the least amount of effort while Outcome 4 was seen as needing the greatest amount of effort. None of the outcomes were viewed as requiring a low level of effort.
 - c. How important is the outcome to the community? Participants made no distinction among outcomes on importance . All outcomes were rated as having high importance.
 - d. How long will it take? Outcome 3 was viewed as being able to be reached within 1 to 3 years by three out of four of the groups. Three out of four groups thought it would take 4 to 6 years to achieve Outcome 4. There was less consensus on how long it would take to achieve Outcome 7. Half of the groups thought it would take 7 to 10 years.

The group as a whole discussed the information that came out of the two exercises and decided that the **priority** for the Momentum Team would be: **Outcome 7, Outcome 4, and Outcome 3**. While the group did achieve consensus on this priority, one member of the group did voice the opinion that Outcome 4 should be the highest priority. He did not, however, feel strong enough to block consensus.

OUTCOMES & FOOD JUSTICE MOMENTUM TEAM VISION						
	Multi-level policies that support food justice	Stable & reliable access to financial & structural resources	Access to land for urban farming for all	Environments that support culturally appropriate, affordable, healthy eating	Local, ecologically sustainable economic development	Continuing culturally appropriate nutrition education promoting a just food system
Outcome 3: Health & family-focused human services shifts toward prevention	4	4	1	3	2	4
Outcome 4: Residents live in Communities with health-promoting land use, transportation & community development	4	4	4	3	3	1
Outcome 7: Neighborhood & school environments support improved health & healthy behaviors	2	4	3	4	4	4

VARIABLES IMPACTING CHANGE				
	Where does the primary Solution Lie?	What level of effort?	Level of importance to community?	How long will it take?
Outcome 3: Health & family-focused human services shifts toward prevention	Regional	1=Low, 2= Medium, 3=High 2.25	1=Low, 2= Medium, 3=High 3.0	1 to 3 years = 3/4 4 to 6 years = 1/4 7 to 10 years = 0/4
Outcome 4: Residents live in Communities with health-promoting land use, transportation & community development	Community/Regional	1=Low, 2= Medium, 3=High 3.0	1=Low, 2= Medium, 3=High 3.0	1 to 3 years = 0/4 4 to 6 years = 3/4 7 to 10 years= 1/4
Outcome 7: Neighborhood & school environments support improved health & healthy behaviors	Community/Regional	1=Low, 2= Medium, 3=High 2.25	1=Low, 2= Medium, 3=High 3.0	1 to 3 years = 1/4 4 to 6 years = 1/4 7 to 10 years = 2/4